FILE COPY

FILED
MAR 18 1946
CHARLES ELMORE CROPLEY
CLERK

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

OCTOBER TERM, 1945

No. 75 21

CHAMPLIN REFINING COMPANY,
Appellant,

vs.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA AND INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION

ON APPEAL FROM THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME UPON ORAL ARGUMENT

HARRY O. GLASSER,
Enid, Oklahoma,
DAN MOODY,
Austin, Texas,
Attorneys for Appellant.

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

OCTOBER TERM, 1945

No. 75

CHAMPLIN REFINING COMPANY,

Appellant,

vs.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA AND INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION

ON APPEAL FROM THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME UPON ORAL ARGUMENT

Comes now the Champlin Refining Company and respectfully asks that counsel be granted an extension of forty-five minutes time upon oral argument, for each side of the controversy, for the necessary and required accurate and proper presentation of their respective positions, and in support of such request states:

That the questions involved are not only determinative of the economic survival of the Champlin Refining Com-

pany, but are of extreme importance as well to the oil refining industry generally.

It is necessary that the *Uncle Sam* case (transcript and briefs, R. pp. 568-879 incl.) be fully reviewed for the comparison in fact that exists between that case and the Champlin case, and it is equally important that the *Valvoline* case (briefs, R. pp. 889-956 incl.) be reviewed at length because it is not in fact like the Champlin case.

It is also important that some three or four questions asked by members of the Court upon the former argument, which were then neither fully nor clearly answered for the lack of time within which to do so, should now be fully and accurately discussed and answered.

The factual record is voluminous and involved, and the operation of a products pipe line is technical in comparison with the operation of a "crude oil" pipe line, so that an extended discussion of the facts involved is required in order that the case may be scrutinized in the manner in which its importance justifies.

WHEREFORE, the Champlin Refining Company prays that the order of the Court be entered extending the time for oral argument by an additional forty-five minutes upon each side, beyond the time allowed under the rule on the regular docket.

CHAMPLIN REFINING COMPANY,
Appellant,

By HARRY O. GLASSER,
DAN MOODY,
Its Attorneys.